## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK EXVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL [10/33 Inspector Syle SACKSTOM]

Date: 4/10/33 Inspector: Syle SACKSTOM				
Time: 2:00 pm Weather Conditions: 50 my 600				
<del>j</del>		Yes	. No	Notes
CCR I	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?	gunden gebruik generalische gebruik gebruik generalische gebruik generalische gebruik gebruik gebruik gebruik g	X	
3.:	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	1))		and the second s
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	Χ		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	Χ		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	elentemidistilitii ilei	X	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	,	X	
9.,	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	
. 11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	

Additional Notes: